

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/039,555	01/08/2002	Frank G. D'Andrea JR.	1303.01	1323	
759	90 01/02/2003				
Melvin K. Silverman Suite 440 4901 North Federal Highway			БХАМІ	EXAMINER	
			AMARANTIDES, JOHN		
Fort Lauderdale,	, FL 33308		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2875		
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/02/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		L Auglication No.	Applicant(s)				
•		Application No.					
· Office Action Summary		10/039,555	D'ANDREA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner					
	Ti ASAU NO DATE -fabin communication con	John Amarantides	2875				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspond nc addr ss Period for Reply							
THE N - Extension after S - If the p - If NO - Failure - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period veron to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the provision of the provision of the mailing department of the provision	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin  within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
'/□ 2a)□	•	is action is non-final.					
3)	the formal water and the morito is						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
9)🖾 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 January 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
•	1. Certified copies of the priority document						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and T	Indemark Office						

Art Unit: 2875

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

- 1. The drawings are objected to because:
  - a. In Figure 1, the reference line for bracket (18) points to a void and not the bracket.
  - b. In Figure 1, the tapered end (26) is not shown.
  - c. In Figure 5, the lower surface (34) is a side surface as indicated, since Figure 5 is a top plan view.
  - d. In Figure 9, the reference line for bracket (20) points to a void and not the bracket.
  - e. In Figure 9, reference number (30) is used to identify a wall and reference number (28) is used to identify a complemental slot, while both reference lines point to the same part.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: Figure 10, reference number (116). A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "15" and "21" have both been used to designate transverse surface. A

Art Unit: 2875

proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Specification

- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - a. Page 7, line 14 uses reference number (15) to identify left edge, while page 8, line 4 uses reference number (15) to identify transverse surface.
  - b. Page 8, line 4 uses reference number (30) to identify left inside face surface, page 8, line 11 uses reference number (30) to identify yz surface, page 8, line 14 uses reference number (30) to identify surface, and page 9, line 18 uses reference number (30) to identify wall.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai (US006466434B1), in view of Leman (US006161944A) and in view of Kam-Hoi (US005791763A).
  - a. In regard to claims 1, 3, and 6 13, Tsai in Figures 2A and 2B discloses a bracket with a tapered end and openings aligned with the outer CPU case to install peripherals

Art Unit: 2875

equipment and switches with a single power supply line. Tsai teaches all the structural elements of the invention except the internal light means. Leman in Figure 2 discloses a light source that slides up and down powered from the CPU power source to illuminate the keyboard of a CPU. Kam-Hoi in Figure 3 discloses a headlamp (1), flexible arm (2), batteries and charger "A" and a switch (SW1) that can be wired to the single computer power supply line shown in Figure 3. Nevertheless, it is old and well known that a common single power supply line is used for computers and associated lighting and that lamps have been used to illuminate computers and that brackets to supporting equipment must be sized and aligned for proper operation and use. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to use Kam-Hoi's lighting means in an appropriate location as supported by Leman in the CPU housing with a bracket (switch is located in a manner to allow exterior access through opening in bracket and CPU case) of Tsai's in order to enhance the interior illumination of the CPU to perform maintenance checks and repair work both safely and efficiently.

b. In regard to claims 2, 4 and 5, nevertheless, it is old and well known that screws and/or threaded bolts are used to fasten CPU housings and brackets to maintain alignment and insure peripherals remain in a fixed position. Punch-out slots are also common in CPU housings and brackets for upgrades and installation of additional equipment.

Art Unit: 2875

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to

lighting systems for CPU's and electronic equipment:

a. US005203622A to Sottile

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to John Amarantides whose telephone number is 703-305-4013.

The examiner can normally be reached 8:00am-4:30pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas Sember can be reached on 703-308-1938. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular

communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-3329.

IA D

December 30, 2002

Sandra O'Shea
Sandra O'Shea
Sandra O'Shea

Page 5

upervisory Pater Land